

2024 Gulf Menhaden

Fishery Goals and Objectives

**Submitted to the
Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission**

**by the
GSMFC State-Federal Fisheries Management Committee's
Menhaden Advisory Committee**

September 2024

Pub Number 326

A publication of the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission pursuant to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Award Number NA23NMF4070050. This publication is funded by a grant from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of NOAA or any of its sub-agencies.



Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission Commissioners

ALABAMA

Chris Blankenship, Commissioner
Alabama Department of Conservation
and Natural Resources
Montgomery, Alabama

Representative Chris Pringle
Mobile, Alabama

Chris Nelson
Bon Secour Fisheries, Inc.
Bon Secour, Alabama

FLORIDA

Roger A. Young, Executive Director
FL Fish and Wildlife Conservation
Commission
Tallahassee, Florida

TBA
Florida Legislature
Tallahassee, Florida

James "Jay" Brown
Apalachicola, FL

LOUISIANA

Madison Sheahan, Secretary
LA Department of Wildlife and Fisheries
Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Sen. Jay Luneau
State of Louisiana, District 29
Alexandria, LA 71301

John Roussel
Zachary, LA 70791

MISSISSIPPI

Joe Spraggins, Executive Director
Mississippi Department of Marine
Resources
Biloxi, Mississippi

TBA
Mississippi Legislature
Jackson, Mississippi

Read Hendon
USM/Gulf Coast Research Laboratory
Ocean Springs, Mississippi

TEXAS

David Yoskowitz, Executive Director
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
Austin, Texas

TBA
Texas Legislature
Austin, Texas

Doug Boyd
Boerne, Texas

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
9.0 MANAGEMENT OF GULF MENHADEN: ISSUES, MEASURES, CONSIDERATIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
9.1 Definition of the Fishery	3
9.2 Management Unit	3
9.3 Management Goals	3
9.3.1 Specific Objectives.....	4
9.3.1.1 Management Objectives	4
9.3.1.2 Population Dynamics Objectives	5
9.3.1.3 Environment Objectives.....	8
9.4 Management Measures and Considerations to Attain Management Goals.....	9
9.4.1 Management.....	10
9.4.1.1 Stock Status.....	10
9.4.1.2 Reference Points and Control Measures for Management	10
9.4.1.3 Measures to Support Management.....	13
9.4.2 Population Dynamics	14
9.4.2.1 Fishery-Independent Monitoring	14
9.4.2.2 Fishery-Dependent Monitoring	16
9.4.2.3 Predator/Prey Relations	17
9.4.3 Environment.....	19
9.4.3.1 Habitat Monitoring	19
9.4.3.2 Sustaining and Protecting Freshwater Sources	19
9.4.3.3 Water Quality.....	21
Appendix A	23

Menhaden Advisory Committee

INDUSTRY

Mr. Peter Himchak
Omega Protein Inc.

Mr. Ben Landry
Menhaden Advisory Council for the
Gulf of Mexico

Mr. Jason Walker
Daybrook Fisheries

Mr. Francois P. Kuttel
Westbank Fishing LLC

FEDERAL

Ms. Sydney Alhale
NOAA Fisheries
SEFSC

STATES

Dr. Carey Gelpi
Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

Mr. Jason Adriance
Louisiana Department of
Wildlife and Fisheries Mr. Matt Hill/Mr. Trevor
Moncrief (proxy)
Mississippi Department of Marine
Resources

Mr. John Mareska
Alabama Department of Conservation
and Natural Resources
Marine Resources Division

Mr. Jeff Renchen
Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation
Commission
Division of Marine Fisheries Management

Introduction

The 2015 Revision of the Gulf Menhaden Fishery Management Plan (FMP) (VanderKooy and Smith 2015) is a cooperative planning effort of the five Gulf states under the Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act as provided to the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission (GSMFC) annually by Congress. The intent of the FMP is to provide the Gulf states with quality information and recommendations for interstate management of their respective fisheries. This information is continually being utilized in state management programs. The members of the Menhaden Advisory Committee (MAC) contributed to the 2015 Revision of the Gulf Menhaden FMP by drafting and/or reviewing assigned sections. In addition, all MAC members contributed their expertise to discussions that resulted in the revisions and led to completion of the 2015 plan.

In addition, the five state resource agencies continue to manage based on the Goals and Objectives as listed in Section 9 of the FMP. Without significant changes to the fishery or the states' management, revisions to the FMP have not been needed and the contents are considered current and relevant. A series of stakeholder workshops were held in 2019 (March and July) and again in 2023, and participants were asked to provide their own goals for the fishery. The submissions overwhelmingly matched the goals identified in the 2015 FMP, so much so that the MAC agreed revisions were still unnecessary. However, in an attempt to aid the industry with their Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) sustainability certification, the MAC agreed to outline the actions and activities in support of the FMP Goals and Objectives within the original language, not changing or modifying them in any way.

The following is a verbatim version of Section 9 copied from the 2015 Revision of the Gulf Menhaden Fishery Management Plan. Our linked activities are highlighted in *blue italics*. None of the original text has been altered.

VanderKooy, S.J. and J.W. Smith (eds). 2015. The Gulf Menhaden Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico, United States: A Regional Management Plan. Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission (online only), Publication Number 240.

VanderKooy, S.J. (ed). 2023. Stakeholder Workshop on Management Reference Points for Gulf Menhaden Fisheries – Sept. 2023. Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, Publication Number 320.

VanderKooy, S.J. (ed). 2019a. Stakeholder Workshop on Management Reference Points for Gulf Menhaden Fisheries – Feb. 2019. Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, Publication Number 285.

VanderKooy, S.J. (ed). 2019b. Stakeholder Workshop on Management Reference Points for Gulf Menhaden Fisheries – July 2019. Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, Publication Number 292.

9.0 MANAGEMENT OF GULF MENHADEN: ISSUES, MEASURES, CONSIDERATIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Definition of the Fishery

The fishery includes three species of menhaden in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico:

Gulf menhaden: *Brevoortia patronus*

Yellowfin menhaden: *Brevoortia smithi*

Finescale menhaden: *Brevoortia gunteri*

The primary fishery is the reduction purse-seine fishery. Relatively minor amounts of menhaden are harvested by other commercial and recreational fisheries as bait.

9.2 Management Unit

The reduction purse-seine fishery in the northern Gulf of Mexico harvests almost exclusively Gulf menhaden, *B. patronus*; other menhaden species and Atlantic thread herring (*Opisthonema oglinum*), represent less than 1% of the catch (Ahrenholz 1981). Considering that *B. patronus* is the only significant species in the fishery and is biologically considered to be a unit stock in the Gulf (Texas to Florida Panhandle), the management unit in this FMP is defined as the total population of *B. patronus* in its range within the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.

9.3 Management Goals

In summary of the following considerations and recommendations, the plan is to provide management with a set of easily understandable strategies to evaluate the actions, encourage compatibility and standardize among resource agencies, facilitate enforcement's role, incorporate ecosystem services as that information becomes available, and reduce management conflicts to provide optimum benefits. Menhaden management should continue to develop collaboration among all stakeholder agencies and entities that directly or indirectly affect Gulf menhaden resources in the estuarine and marine environment. In addition, Gulf menhaden are a critical species in healthy estuarine systems, and any management strategy must incorporate Gulf menhaden both as an ecosystem resource, as that information becomes available, and a fishery resource. Given the following considerations and recommendations, management goals for future evaluation are:

- Maintain the Gulf menhaden population at a level to sustain their ecosystem role; and, to the extent practicable, maintain economically viable fisheries, with continued support for important social and cultural aspects of the associated fishing communities.
- Improve the states' role in monitoring the resource through improved data collection methods, reporting, and knowledge of menhaden's role in the ecosystem.
- Evaluate the effects of changes in the environment (e.g., sea level rise, marsh loss, fresh water diversions) in order to help estimate trends in future stock carrying capacity.

- Develop methods to identify environmental factors that affect menhaden stocks, and more fully integrate those factors into stock assessments.

The MAC's goals are representative of the associated management authorities. The management goals for our fisheries, fish stocks, and ecosystems are responsible use of these valuable resources, identifying and promoting ecosystem function, and promoting the sustainability of the resources entrusted to our agency.

9.3.1 Specific Objectives

The following sections contain specific objectives to achieve the management goals. These objectives are priority items that require action to meet management goals and improve data for the next benchmark assessment. Objectives are divided into three categories: management, population dynamics, and environment. Each objective may address one or more of the management goals as they are inter-related.

9.3.1.1 Management Objectives

- Determine the status of Gulf menhaden resources through SEDAR or a similar comprehensive process with a benchmark stock assessment conducted every five years:

This is completed every three years through SEDAR and GDAR. Currently undergoing an update assessment with terminal year 2023. As the recent SEDAR documents and processes (SEDAR 31, SEDAR 63) attest, each stock assessment effort for Gulf Menhaden is comprehensive, transparent, and consistent in organization and deliverables. All aspects of the stock SEDAR stock assessment (the data, the model, and the reference points for the determination of stock and fishery status) are peer reviewed, and those reviews are publicly available.

- Establish standardized ageing programs in the region for Gulf menhaden by 2015.

Ageing of fishery-independent samples continues to occur, but the effort is limited. There continues to be extensive efforts, managed by the GSMFC and NOAA, to perform sampling and make age determinations from the commercial fishery. The menhaden port sampling program, in tandem with the deck logs (CDFRs), represent one of the longest continuous commercial sampling efforts in the Gulf of Mexico and one of the longest in the United States. The sampling program has been evaluated recently to determine whether the program adequately characterizes the size and age structure of the catch – necessary because of the significant changes in the spatial extent and magnitude of the fisheries in the last three decades. This was done through a simulation study to evaluate current menhaden fishery sampling targets and to examine the relative performance of a suite of alternative targets. The results indicate that the current sample protocol is adequate and reducing the number of fish sampled per trip from the current target of 10 to as few as four would have a minimal effect on estimates of mean size and proportions at age in the catch. Similarly, increasing the number of sampled trips will not greatly improve the characterization of catch size or age composition.

Nesslage, G.M., R.T. Leaf, M.J. Wilberg, R.M. Mroch III, and A.M. Schueller. 2020. A Simulation-Based Evaluation of Commercial Port Sampling Programs for the Gulf and Atlantic Menhaden

9.3.1.2 Population Dynamics Objectives

- Within two years of the completion of each assessment, evaluate potential for additional research or monitoring programs to help measure stock and recruitment variation, based on the analyses conducted and recommendations of the most recent benchmark stock assessment.

A critical need that continues to be assessed is the changes to sampling design in the states that contribute data to the assessment (i.e. Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama). Long-term independent monitoring continues with additional sampling protocols being implemented including expanding gill net sampling in Louisiana since the BP disaster along with new or adjusted sampling sites contributing to the adult index. Using statistical evaluation, seine sampling stations used for the juvenile index have also been adjusted as habitats have changed. For example, at some sampling stations, land loss due to subsidence, storms or anthropogenic activities, has forced the station locations to move inland (e.g., shoreline seines, gill nets). In October of 2010, new fixed stations were added for each gear. In addition, increases in funding for fishery-independent monitoring has resulted in increased sampling effort spatially and temporally for seines in Mississippi and Louisiana contributing to the recruitment index. Details are available in the assessment documents for Gulf Menhaden.

- Select key predatory finfish species, then process and identify their stomach contents to species (across several life history stages) for two years across five Gulf states using standardized protocols.

Recent work to understand the trophic connectivity of Gulf Menhaden to finfish of management and conservation interest. Recent work has highlighted, through the investigation in the literature and modeling, the identification of the ‘key predatory finfish species’ of Gulf Menhaden. The two papers below investigated, through meta-analysis of published and unpublished diet data, the connectivity of predators to various prey taxa in the Gulf of Mexico. Both papers showed a lack of specificity to menhaden as critical prey for the predators examined. There is high trophic connectivity between fishes in the northern Gulf of Mexico, and all measures used to understand trophic dynamics in the region indicated that the prey field was exceptionally diverse.

Leaf, R.T. and M.C. Oshima. 2019. Construction and evaluation of a robust trophic network model for the northern Gulf of Mexico ecosystem. Ecological Informatics, 50:13-23.

Oshima, M.C. and R.T. Leaf. 2018. Understanding the structure and resilience of trophic dynamics in the northern Gulf of Mexico using network analysis. Bulletin of Marine Science, 94(1):21-46.

- On annual and standardized bases, collect and process sub-samples of Gulf menhaden from state fishery-independent surveys, focusing on size and age composition, maturity schedules, and genetic component of the samples.

Ageing of some fishery-independent samples has occurred. However, this is an area (the evaluation of

biostatistical characteristics of the fishery-independent data) that has just begun. The evaluation of maturity schedules, however, has been extensive – though this is not updated annually. Work by Brown-Peterson et al. (2017) indicated that males and females reach 50% maturity at 140.8 mm and 137.2 mm fork length, respectively and recruit into the commercial fishery at this size. Analysis of fishery-dependent data from 1964 - 2014 indicated that somatic condition was lower during the late 1980s and late 2000s and that reproductively active fish from 2014 were significantly larger and had greater gonadosomatic index values than those from 1964 - 1970. Histological analysis performed on fish from 2014 through 2016 revealed spawning-capable and actively spawning fish of both sexes from early October through mid-March. Females have indeterminate fecundity, are batch spawners, and spawn every 2.1 – 4.3 days, although oocyte recruitment shows some characteristics of determinate fecundity. Mean relative batch fecundity was 107.8 eggs/g ovary-free body weight (standard error 17.1). Estimates from age-structured assessment models based on updated fecundity and maturity measures resulted in a 100–1000× greater production of eggs than previous estimates.

The TPWD explored the genetic variability and population structure of Gulf Menhaden compared with Yellowfin and Finescale Menhaden by Anderson and Torres (2016). Results found Gulf Menhaden had conformed to the expectation of genetic homogeneity over the range of the species along the Northern Gulf of Mexico (Florida to Texas) and suggested that all samples collected from Florida to Texas resided in a single genetic cluster. This was in contrast to Yellowfin Menhaden, which showed significant genetic divergence among localized populations in Florida waters east of Apalachicola and suggested two genetic clusters for that species. Evidence of Finescale Menhaden was only found from the Laguna Madre area. Analysis also found genetic evidence of only Gulf Menhaden within the present-day Gulf fishing grounds roughly from Sabine Lake, TX to Moss Point, MS.

Brown-Peterson, N.J., R.T. Leaf, A.M. Schueller, and M.J. Andres. 2017. Reproductive dynamics of Gulf menhaden (*Brevoortia patronus*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico: effects on stock assessments. *Fishery Bulletin*, 115(3):284.

Anderson, J.D. and A.D. Torres. 2016. Genetic Variability and Population Structure of Gulf Menhaden Compared with Yellowfin Menhaden Marine and Coastal Fisheries: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystem Science 8:425-435.

- Sample seasonally using a Gulf-wide standardized gear for pelagic baitfish species in estuarine habitats to quantify abundance and importance of menhaden as a prey item in relation to co-occurring prey species; develop a time series of indices of abundance.

The fishery-independent sampling of Gulf Menhaden in the northern Gulf of Mexico is extensive. Although no standardized approaches for sampling the stock have been implemented among the states, the sampling has been statistically standardized (see the Gulf Menhaden stock assessment for an analysis of sensitivity that includes the use of hierarchical models to combine Alabama's and Mississippi's fishery-independent sampling). The assessment team continues to explore the inclusion of data for the assessment (either in the base model or in sensitivity). The time series of relative abundance of Gulf Menhaden is currently best represented by the Louisiana gill nets and seine sampling from Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama. Other methodologies have been explored and are highlighted below.

Push Net Survey - Alabama explored a dedicated pelagic baitfish survey from 2017-2019 in coastal rivers. The gear was a surface trawl that was pushed from poles extended beyond the bow of the vessel after sunset. Preliminary work demonstrated a diel shift for menhaden to surface waters at dusk and throughout the night. The intent was to develop a recruitment index and compare catch rates and indices between the seine and dedicated pushed surface trawl. Juvenile Gulf Menhaden demonstrated an affinity for freshwater and transitioned to higher salinity water with growth. Gulf Menhaden was the dominate species in the catch indicating this is a good juvenile species-specific survey design. Larger menhaden and mullet species could be observed at the water's surface outswimming the gear being pushed at 2.5 knots speed through the water. Catch per unit effort was similar between the seine and surface trawl, but variance was three time as great for the seine. No significant difference was detected in the index of abundance. A caveat of fishing the coastal rivers was elucidated in 2019 during a wet spring. Prolonged rains eliminated the catch in the rivers and at seine sites as juveniles did not need to enter the estuary far before encountering freshwater. The vessel and gear did not perform well in the open waters of Mobile Bay.


Electrofishing Survey - Louisiana utilizes a number of standardized fishery-independent surveys to measure relative abundance and size compositions of estuarine finfish. The seine survey is the primary gear used to sample estuarine finfish along marsh edges. The effectiveness of standardized seine sampling in producing unbiased estimates of relative abundance of certain species has been brought into question due to a combination of factors such as low or highly variable catch rates, accelerated marsh erosion rates that cause sampling inefficiencies, as well as seasonal fluctuations in water levels, which also cause sampling inefficiencies.

From 2016 through 2023, LDWF began a comprehensive monthly sampling survey of Saltmarsh Topminnow (*Fundulus jenkinsi*), Bayou Killifish (*F. pulvereus*), and Diamond Killifish (*F. xenicus*) populations in the Barataria Bay estuary utilizing standardized quantitative electrofishing at established seine stations where each species has been observed in past samples. Standard seine samples were also collected monthly at each station to allow side-by-side comparisons of the catch rates and variability in catches across these stations. When compared to the seine catch rates, the electrofishing samples had substantial increases in catch rates for all LDWF assessment species, with the exception of Black Drum and Gulf Menhaden, which was hoped could contribute to the recruitment and juvenile indices in the assessment.

Aerial Survey - The MAC, industry, and state agencies made efforts to expand sampling to describe the distribution and relative abundance of the stock outside the coastal zone fishing grounds with a proposal to perform aerial surveys of Gulf Menhaden schools, intending to fund the proposal with the NSF GOALI program. Though the proposal was not submitted, the group performed significant work to understand how such a survey could succeed. It was a fruitful effort to engage with stakeholders, and the group still hopes that a survey can succeed in the future with a grant proposal.

The proposed work involved understanding the relationship between school size and biomass by working collaboratively with the industry to monitor catches from schools for which surface area estimates were determined. Digital images were to be analyzed using specialized photogrammetric software (Adobe Photoshop CS6 Extended), with school biomass expected to vary with total surface area (m^2) and shape characteristics. Geographic and temporal characteristics, such as distance to shore, depth, time of day, and days since Sunday, would also be predictor variables. Given a photo or

series of photos depicting a menhaden school, an unbiased estimate of surface area could be determined using the camera height and plane angle parameters, with the mean biomass estimate derived using regression estimates along with metadata and school shape. To determine the sampled area of each transect, the total area covered would be calculated by summing the interpretable areas of individual photographs taken at a 2,500 feet elevation with a 28 mm lens, adjusting for altitude and vertical deviation. For each geographic strata identified in the stratified random sampling design (NW, NC1, NC2, NC3, and NE), the mean biomass (lb)/area (m²) and variance would be calculated. Substratum estimates would then be combined for a Gulf-wide abundance estimate. The large amount of data collected, including digital photo data and metadata, would be organized, managed, and disseminated through a comprehensive data management, storage, and distribution plan to facilitate the sharing of raw and processed data products.



Proposed strata for aerial sampling from Texas to the Alabama/Florida boundary line.

However, the MAC and industry determined that because the logistics of flying transects far offshore, considering the limitations of the small spotter planes and the liability associated with offshore flights was unreasonable. In addition, the ability of the spotter pilots to confidently identify menhaden from other schooling fish was questioned, and the lack of water clarity further complicated the use of standard photography or LIDAR type equipment. Preliminary work on the Atlantic coast drove some of the desire to apply the technology and sampling methods to the Gulf, but a quick realization proved that other methods would need to be designed.

9.3.1.3 Environment Objectives

- Compare analysis of habitat changes (e.g., marsh loss and freshwater diversions) to indices of abundance of juvenile and adult Gulf menhaden to determine net effects.

Efforts to understand environmental impacts on the stock has been conducted historically. Similarly, the

impacts of Mississippi River discharge are included as part of the sensitivities in previous assessments.

“Guillory et al. (1983) noted that relatively ‘cold, dry’ winters were associated with good recruitment, whereas ‘warm, wet’ winters were associated with poor recruitment.”
Pages 3-10 Gulf Menhaden FMP (2015).

Recent, additional work to explore the impacts of increased freshwater changes include work to understand inter-annual oil dynamics and inter-annual changes in growth of age-1 y and age-2 y fish. Similarly, the interannual changes in fatty acid composition of Gulf Menhaden, and its environmental determinants, have been described. Leaf (2017) evaluated patterns in oil yield to a suite of seasonal environmental data series including: the magnitude of spring Mississippi River discharge, spring wind vectors, and the preceding winter El Niño conditions. Two uncorrelated ($r = 0.06$, $p = 0.81$) population-level predictor variables were identified that have explanatory power to describe temporal patterns in oil content ($L \text{ kg}^{-1}$); a weight-at-length power function parameter (a); and the von Bertalanffy asymptotic fork length (L_{∞} , mm FL): $L \text{ kg}^{-1} = -0.158 - 0.026*a - 0.00163*L_{\infty}$ ($p < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.42$). Analysis of the impacts of environmental variables on the oil content of Gulf Menhaden was evaluated and indicated that contrasts spring Mississippi River discharge and the preceding winter (December–February) El Niño Southern Oscillation index resulted in significant impacts. Midway et al. (2020) evaluated the growth dynamics of $n = 299,185$ Gulf Menhaden samples collected across the Gulf of Mexico from 1977 to 2016. Using hierarchical models of age-1 growth and age-2 growth, they found that wind V (negative effect) and Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) (positive effect) influenced age-1 Gulf Menhaden growth, and AMO was the only significant predictor (weak negative effect) of age-2 Gulf Menhaden growth. Leaf et al. (2018) investigated the temporal dynamics of both fatty acid and oil content of Gulf Menhaden. They found that pronounced temporal (January to October) variation in mean oil content and the existence of significant differences ($p < 0.001$) in the composition of fatty acids of muscle tissue that were collected in different months. Mean eicosatetraenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (LC-PUFA) levels exhibited significant seasonal differences ($p < 0.05$); and in the case of DHA and LC-PUFA, both exhibited mean tissue-specific differences ($p < 0.05$).

Midway, S.R., A.M. Schueller, R.T. Leaf, G.M. Nessler, and R.M. Mroch III. 2020. Macroscale drivers of Atlantic and Gulf Menhaden growth. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 29(3):252-264.

Leaf, R.T., J. Trushenski, N.J. Brown-Peterson, and M.J. Andres. 2018. Temporal dynamics of lipid and fatty acid characteristics of Gulf Menhaden, *Brevoortia patronus*, in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 24:1-9.

Leaf, R.T. 2017. Environmental determinants of Gulf Menhaden (*Brevoortia patronus*) oil content in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Ecological Indicators*, 82:551-557.

9.4 Management Measures and Considerations to Attain Management Goals

The following is a discussion of relevant issues related to the effective management of menhaden

through the setting of goals and objectives. The process begins with consideration of those items of careful reflection that have a direct bearing on Gulf menhaden. Items to consider were compiled from outputs and data gaps identified through the assessment process, comments from resource managers, and public comment. Next, based upon considered items, recommendations are proposed actions to bring about resolution of those items. The final steps are the setting of goals and objectives. Goals are the ambitious end to which objectives are directed, and objectives are the measurable action(s) to which effort/resources are directed. The final goals and objectives in no way restrict any agency from addressing any of the considerations or recommendations.

9.4.1 Management

9.4.1.1 Stock Status

Limit reference points (limits) are the basis for determining stock status, i.e., whether overfishing is occurring or a stock is overfished. When the fishing mortality rate (F) exceeds the fishing mortality limit (F_{limit}), then overfishing is occurring; the rate of removal of fish by the fishery exceeds the ability of the stock to replenish itself. When the reproductive output measured as spawning stock biomass (SSB) or population fecundity (FEC) falls below the spawning stock biomass (SSB_{limit}), then the stock is overfished, meaning there is insufficient mature female biomass (SSB) or egg production (population fecundity, or FEC) to replenish the stock.

The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 2007 states that management measures define an overfished condition and a target level for the stock. The biomass limit for an overfished menhaden stock was previously proposed as $0.5 * SSB_{MSY}$ (Vaughan et al. 2007). The suggested target for spawning biomass, or population fecundity (FEC), should be near B_{MSY} (or its proxy). The target level chosen for fishing mortality is less clear, other than the stipulation that F_{target} be sufficiently below the F_{limit} .

9.4.1.2 Reference Points and Control Measures for Management

Reference points are typically defined only for fishery removals that allow for 'natural' removals through a separate mortality term. The natural mortality term (M) is often constant, but is sometimes allowed to vary with age and time when data are sufficient. Reference points based on MSY treat this natural mortality term as 'lost yield' in that fishing mortality is typically increased in populations with a high M and decreased in population with a low M . The difficulty with this approach is that it does not consider the value of natural mortality to the ecosystem in the form of prey biomass for other stocks (e.g., large predators). Awareness of the issue of accounting for the role of Gulf menhaden as a prey resource has increased in recent years due in part to changes in the status of Atlantic menhaden (ASMFC 2010) and a general increase in both public and regulatory awareness of the importance of ecosystem issues. During the completion of SEDAR32A, there was considerable discussion relative to these factors of ecosystem value (SEDAR 2013). In addition, those factors were also discussed for defining potential fishery reference points. However, it was concluded that the data were simply insufficient for inclusion in the current benchmark assessment.

In SEDAR32A, the BAM model did not produce a reliable estimate of maximum sustainable yield, MSY was infinite (SEDAR 2013). Given the constraints of the assessment results, levels of effort in reference

to the MSY proxy (fecundity (SSB)) were selected as reference points by the MAC and approved by the GSMFC. Estimates of biomass associated with a reference target ($F_{35\%}$) and limit ($F_{30\%}$) levels were calculated at $F_{35\%}$, (663,583 mt) and $F_{30\%}$ (680,765 mt). These harvest levels will serve as accountability measures to ensure the fishery remains viable. Based on the reference points approved by the GSMFC, the Gulf menhaden stock is neither overfished nor is overfishing occurring.

If two consecutive fishing years produce harvests exceeding the target $F_{35\%}$, a stock assessment update will be requested. If harvest surpasses the limit $F_{30\%}$ in a single year, a stock assessment update will be requested.

A phase plot for fishing years 1977 to 2011 the base run of the Gulf menhaden assessment with fishing mortality benchmarks of $F_{30\%}$ and $F_{35\%}$ was generated (Figure 9.1). The plot includes the associated spawning stock biomass (fecundity) benchmarks of $SSB_{30\%limit}$ and $SSB_{35\%target}$.




Figure 9.1 Phase plot for fishing years 1977 to 2011 from the base run of the Gulf menhaden assessment with fishing mortality benchmarks of $F_{30\%}$ and $F_{35\%}$ and with the associated spawning stock biomass (fecundity in billions of eggs) benchmarks of $SSB_{30\%limit}$ and $SSB_{35\%target}$ (SEDAR 2013).

Considerations

- In the SEDAR32A benchmark assessment of Gulf menhaden (SEDAR 2013), the primary model did not successfully find a stock-recruitment relationship and could not produce

reliable estimates of MSY and F_{MSY} . Under these circumstances, proxy values for MSY are typically based on yield-per-recruit calculations and used to estimate reference points for harvest targets and limits in fisheries such as F as some percent SPR. Because Gulf menhaden spawn offshore during winter prior to the start of the fishing season, almost all fish reach maturity and spawn before being targeted by the fishery. Subsequently, a large proportion of age-1 individuals survive to become age-2s and are therefore able to spawn before being harvested by the fishery. If this selectivity in the fishery continues, F_{MSY} would be expected to continue as infinite.

- A number of alternative management reference points were offered in SEDAR32A, and the assessment panel agreed to make a general statement that given commonly applied benchmarks in the region, the Gulf menhaden stock is likely not undergoing overfishing and is likely not overfished (SEDAR 2013).
- Considerable discussion occurred before and after the completion of the SEDAR32A benchmark assessment for Gulf menhaden regarding the alternative reference points for management (SEDAR 2013). The most critical concern of managers was that there was too much uncertainty regarding estimates of biomass for Gulf menhaden. The bio-statistical data from the commercial reduction fishery does not include many younger or older fish. Therefore, the only appropriate action that could be taken by the state agencies would be to manage for effort or harvest levels.

Recommendations

1. The states agencies should adopt Gulf-wide management reference points for the Gulf menhaden fishery of a target of 663,583 mt ($F_{35\%}$ fecundity SSB) and a limit of 680,765 mt ($F_{30\%}$ fecundity SSB).
2. A benchmark stock assessment should be conducted every five years in conjunction with an FMP revision.
3. If two consecutive years of fishing produce harvests exceeding the target $F_{35\%}$, a stock assessment update will be requested.
4. If harvest surpasses the limit $F_{30\%}$ in a single year, a stock assessment update will be requested.
5. Forecasts of year class strength utilizing the state agencies' fishery-independent data should be provided to the MAC prior to the fishing season to help track fluctuations in population abundance and year class strength.

In SEDAR 63 (SEDAR 2018), the assessment panel suggested some alternative reference points. For fishing mortality rate, the benchmark of $F=M$ was suggested as a threshold; and for spawning stock biomass as measured in fecundity, the benchmark of $SSB_{25\%}$ at $F=0$ was suggested as a threshold. Both of these benchmarks have been used in other fisheries. The fishing mortality rate benchmark represents

the fish that would normally die due to natural mortality and could instead be taken by fishing, while the SSB metric represents 25% of the equilibrium fecundity when fishing is not occurring. The SSB-based metrics were not paired with the F-based metrics because the spread in the associated SSB metric was smaller than one standard deviation of the variability in the SSB time series. Based on these benchmarks, the stock status of the base run is not overfished, and overfishing is not occurring. Moreover, most of the sensitivity runs and the MCB uncertainty analysis runs resulted in a current stock status of not overfished and overfishing not occurring.

The SEDAR 63 efforts document the extensive discussions surrounding the formulation of alternative reference points to those mentioned above (Section 8.2), including ecosystem-based fishery reference points (ERP).

These efforts to 1. Formulate reference points and to 2. Discuss their appropriateness for the Gulf Menhaden fishery and stock motivated the hosting of a series of three stakeholder workshops. The final workshop was in September 2023. Amid this workshop, participants reviewed the Goals and Objectives identified by participants in the previous two workshops in order to outline the pros and cons of a number of reference point options including those based on ecosystem. Participants, which included all the Gulf states' management agencies, NOAA Fisheries, and several non-governmental entities, tried to reach consensus on what reference point options were viable to date: (<https://www.gsmfc.org/publications/GSMFC%20Number%20320.pdf>).

To understand if managers have sufficient reference points (RP), the workshop concluded that:

- RPs are established, which we've spent time considering during the benchmark assessment (F and SSB RPs). We also have an index-based approach (related to the industry Harvest Control Rule - HCR) and ERPs derived from an ecosystem model that need further exploration and evaluation.*
- Though the current RPs have not been tested nor run through simulations, they have been considered in previous assessments and workshops, and were vetted by CIE reviewers during SEDAR. To determine the appropriateness of a RP, it should be simulation tested using an HCR to see how it performs under a range of potential future conditions. Testing is required to ensure that what is believed to be an appropriate RP is not, in fact, catastrophic when applied in the real world.*

9.4.1.3 Measures to Support Management

A number of research needs which are listed in Section 10.0 were enumerated as a result of the SEDAR32A stock assessment (SEDAR 2013). Many of these items include data gaps which have been acknowledged in previous FMP revisions and continue to hinder the ability of the Gulf states to develop many of the necessary data elements required in today's data-hungry assessment models. While the reduction fishery for Gulf menhaden enjoys comprehensive, detailed, and accurate fishery-dependent data streams, fishery-independent data collection for Gulf menhaden by the Gulf states has often suffered due to limited funds, resources, and personnel.

As mentioned above and already documented in the recent SEDAR assessments (since SEDAR 27), a variety of data evaluation and statistical assessment have been performed on the monitoring efforts for

9.4.2 Population Dynamics

9.4.2.1 Fishery-Independent Monitoring

The NOAA Beaufort Lab has maintained a fishery-dependent sampling program for Gulf menhaden since 1964; it provides detailed information on daily vessel landings, nominal or observed fishing effort, and port samples (on a port/week basis) for size and age composition of the catch. These data are used to annually estimate the numbers-at-age of fish landed (the catch-at-age matrices); they form the foundation of the statistical catch-at-age model used to assess the status of the Gulf menhaden stock in the Gulf of Mexico (SEDAR 2013). The Gulf states have been monitoring juvenile to adult menhaden population in the estuarine to near shore waters for decades. With the exception of Louisiana, this information has been seldom used to predict year class strength or age composition due to the robust nature of the population. It is important that the states begin enhancing their programs by incorporating additional components such as ageing samples from the fishery-independent surveys in the estuarine and near shore waters for comparison to the offshore fishery-dependent samples to help predict production, regulate harvest, and direct management practices.

Fishery-independent monitoring, based on applied scientific and statistical protocols, provides an essential component for making science-based management decisions regarding Gulf menhaden in state waters. Fishery-independent monitoring is standardized and replicated over relevant spatial and temporal scales and therefore gives a better picture of the source population, unbiased by size-selective harvest. This typically includes sampling larvae, juveniles and/or adults to develop data to determine recruitment, growth and survival, natural mortality, and standing stock biomass.

Most fishery-independent monitoring programs involve various gears deployed in a random statistical design by scientists to collect larvae, juveniles, and adults. This information is used to develop abundance indices (state seine and gill net surveys) and ultimately involved in assessing the status of present and future stocks.

The comprehensive nature of the fishery-independent monitoring of Gulf Menhaden is well described in the assessment documents for the stock.

Additional data includes genetic information which may better define the management unit and the potential for multiple stocks or genetic populations.

Considerations

- The current benchmark stock assessment for Gulf menhaden (SEDAR32A) utilizes data from several fishery-independent monitoring programs conducted by the Gulf states (see Section 9.4.1.1). State seine surveys, as well as trawl surveys, are used to develop independent indices of juvenile abundance. State gill net surveys are used to develop independent indices of adult abundance.
- Not all the state monitoring programs provide length, weight, and age data associated with

Gulf menhaden. Basic population characteristics are collected that could be useful in future assessments across the entire range of the population, not just where the fishery occurs.

- Validation of age composition from the fishery-independent sampling programs could address some of the data gaps identified in SEDAR32A.
- The existing Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) surveys and gear are not designed to sample juvenile menhaden, thus a recruitment index from these data are unavailable. Sampling protocols should be developed to this end, with sampling efforts directed to coastal bays and river systems where juvenile menhaden spend up to their first year of life.
- The GSMFC's MAC has discussed and designed a fishery-independent surface-trawl survey for juvenile Gulf menhaden from Florida to Texas based on original survey work of Ahrenholz et al. (1989). However, funding for a pilot survey has been unavailable; nevertheless, extant juvenile surveys conducted by the Gulf States appear adequate for assessment purposes.
- Although there are inshore state surveys for juvenile Gulf menhaden, there is no analogous survey in the northern Gulf of Mexico proper that targets adults. In SEDAR32A, the lack of an index of adult abundance on the fishing grounds was identified as a major impediment for accurate assessment menhaden populations (SEDAR 2013). The extant fishery-independent sampling program in the northern Gulf of Mexico SEAMAP utilizes trawl gear which is inappropriate for menhaden.
- Species overlap of Gulf and yellowfin menhaden occurs east of the Mississippi River and Gulf and finescale menhaden overlap west of the Mississippi River. Separation of adult specimens can usually be determined in the field by characteristic and meristic differences. However, these differences are not as pronounced in sub-adults. Identification issues led to the exclusion of some data from the species' range extremes in the SEDAR32A data analysis (SEDAR 2013).

Recommendations

1. The Gulf states and NOAA Fisheries need to evaluate the available fishery-independent data and explore ways to combine the data from each state or develop state only indices in order to provide a coast-wide index which would benefit the stock assessment by providing information on trends in abundance over time.
2. The states should implement fishery-independent surveys that include capture of juvenile menhaden in a consistent manner in order to provide information to determine menhaden recruitment in the rivers and upper bays of the northern Gulf of Mexico and provide an index of juvenile abundance for future stock assessments.
3. The states should generate updated estimates of fecundity, maturity schedules, and sex ratios, preferably from both fishery-dependent and fishery-independent samples.

4. The states should implement additional independent sampling of offshore pelagic stocks that include menhaden; gear should be designed to provide data on adult indices beyond the nearshore waters and to provide information on other pelagic ecosystem components.
5. The states should consider re-establishing a Gulf menhaden tag/recovery study. Many more tools exist today to simplify tag/recapture of fishes, and an updated tag/recapture study would allow for a contemporary estimate of natural mortality.
6. The states should design and implement studies including genetic identification (mtDNA fingerprinting) for all menhaden species in independent samples to ensure positive identification of young specimens, improve estimates of juvenile abundance for the species, monitor potential range expansions, and determine of extent of hybridization in the three menhaden species, especially in the sympatric zones to the east and west.

9.4.2.2 Fishery-Dependent Monitoring

Fishery-dependent monitoring involves collecting and analyzing landings data and is intended to sample both the resource and the fishery. Typically, fishery-dependent sampling generates larger sample sizes than fishery-independent monitoring at lower cost. Landings data are often used by fisheries managers to monitor population changes by analyzing trends in catch and effort and are critical in evaluating management practices. Monitoring data can include size-at-age and biomass of landings, the number of fishermen, amount of observed fishing effort, fishing locations, and other parameters that represent actual fisheries activities.

Long-term, fishery-dependent monitoring provides an essential component in making science-based management decisions, and analyses and results are critical elements in all adaptive management strategies. Fishery-dependent monitoring reports generally have a longer and more consistent history than fishery-independent data sets; however, there are some considerations when utilizing this type of data. Deficiencies in reporting requirements, regulatory changes, and enforcement may affect the utility of commercial landings.

The primary purpose of fishery-dependent monitoring is to gather data on catch and effort, size-at-age, and various somatic growth parameters. These data are critical for accurate stock assessments. The NOAA Beaufort Laboratory, in cooperation with the menhaden reduction industry, monitors landings of Gulf menhaden. Clerical staff at the menhaden factories supply landings data to the Beaufort Lab on a daily or weekly basis – timely intervals unavailable in most fishery monitoring systems. Several Gulf states also collect similar catch data from the menhaden fishery via trip ticket programs.

Crews of menhaden vessels also complete daily logbooks, or CDFRs, enumerating each purse-seine set with data on estimated catch, fishing time and location, and several weather variables. CDFR data sets are maintained at the Beaufort Lab and vessel compliance has been nearly 100%. CDFRs are a rich source of spatial and temporal information for the Gulf menhaden fishery.

Considerations

- Port sampling efforts for Gulf menhaden have an unfortunate history of tenuous funding. Annual funding for this activity often requires “eleventh-hour” decisions by federal, state, and/or Commission managers to ensure continued data collection.
- NOAA Fisheries has other data priorities that do not necessarily include Gulf menhaden. There has always been a struggle to continue federal support for the data collection program when the fishery is prosecuted primarily in state waters.
- NOAA Fisheries, the Gulf states, and the industry all collect or report landings. The individual state data programs are not reviewed with the NMFS data programs regularly to evaluate effectiveness and duplication of effort.
- The current unit of nominal fishing effort used in the Gulf menhaden fishery is the vessel-ton-week (VTW). The NOAA Beaufort Lab has evaluated other units of nominal fishing effort, such as number of trips, number of days with at least one set, and number of sets, but found the vessel-ton-week to be a satisfactory unit of nominal fishing effort, given existing data. Evaluation of other potential measures of fishing effort should continue.
- There are inherent biases using observed effort data derived from purse-seine fisheries that rely on spotter planes to locate concentrations of fish schools.

Recommendations

1. NOAA Fisheries should continue to support their Menhaden Program and personnel at their NOAA Beaufort Lab; NOAA Fisheries should also maintain sufficient funding for Gulf menhaden port sampling programs and maintenance of long-term Gulf menhaden data sets.
2. NOAA Fisheries should continue to re-evaluate use of CDFRs as an alternate measure of nominal fishing effort for the Gulf menhaden fishery.
3. NOAA Fisheries and the Gulf states could review their individual efforts to determine if they are adequately obtaining the necessary information for management decisions. If they are determined to be insufficient, appropriate changes to laws, regulations, and policies could be sought.
4. The NMFS should evaluate the feasibility of using spotter plane logs to estimate spatial and temporal changes in abundance of Gulf menhaden.

9.4.2.3 Predator/Prey Relations

Most inferences concerning feeding behavior of Gulf menhaden are based on studies of Atlantic menhaden. One key research need is information on Gulf menhaden food habits, which would improve this facet of specificity in ecosystem models. This includes direct analysis of diet, as well as examinations of feeding behavior, in response to key prey items. Direct diet enumeration is difficult due to the planktonic nature of the prey, but biochemical techniques such as analysis of stable isotope

ratios (Litvin and Weinstein 2004, Rooker et al. 2006) and fatty acid profiles (Rooker et al. 1998), provide valuable tools for diet analysis of filter feeders. These techniques can also be used to examine the role of Gulf menhaden as a prey item for higher trophic level piscivores (see Section 3.2.7), which will allow for a more precise inclusion of menhaden in food web models of the Gulf of Mexico.

Population dynamics objectives need to quantify menhaden role in the ecosystem by determining their abundance in the environment and stomach contents of predators relative to other pelagic species that serve as the forage base in the food web.

Considerations

- An emphasis on quantifying the trophic role of menhaden in the Gulf of Mexico is an important step in the shift towards ecosystem-based management.

Recommendations

1. Establish methods to determine the trophic role of Gulf menhaden in the Gulf of Mexico.

Recent modeling work in the region have been made to understand the 1.) connectivity of Gulf Menhaden to predators, and 2.) the impacts of removal and ecosystem changes. The following work has been instrumental in discussions by the MAC and assessment group in understanding ecosystem impacts of the fishery:

*Berenshtein, I., S.R. Sagarese, M.V. Lauretta, A.M. Schueller, and D.D. Chagaris. 2023. "Identifying trade-offs and reference points in support of ecosystem approaches to managing Gulf of Mexico menhaden." *Frontiers in Marine Science* 9:935324.*

*Sagarese, S.R., M.A. Nuttall, T.M. Geers, M.V. Lauretta, J.F. Walter III, and J.E. Serafy. 2016. Quantifying the trophic importance of Gulf menhaden within the northern Gulf of Mexico ecosystem. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries*, 8(1):23-45.*

*Robinson, K.L., J.J. Ruzicka, F.J. Hernandez, W.M. Graham, M.B. Decker, R.D. Brodeur, and M. Sutor. 2015. Evaluating energy flows through jellyfish and gulf menhaden (*Brevoortia patronus*) and the effects of fishing on the northern Gulf of Mexico ecosystem. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72(8):2301-2312.*

Robinson, K.L., J.J. Ruzicka, J.M. Gili, F.J. Hernandez, M.B. Decker, and W.M. Graham. 2014. Role of large coastal jellyfish and forage fish as energy transfer pathways in the northern Gulf of Mexico.

All the previous work listed culminated into a series of stakeholder workshops from 2019-2023 where ecosystem-based reference points were evaluated and discussed by industry, stakeholders, and state resource managers. While the models are not actionable at this time, consideration was given, and recommendations for needed data were provided back to the ecosystem modelers to make the information more applicable to the Gulf Menhaden stock. The group identified inconsistencies in the model including; several of the predator/prey groups were not always representative of the

*northcentral Gulf of Mexico, inclusion of prey from the west Florida shelf were not *B. patronus*, and spatial overlaps of predators and prey was assumed which were not accurate. For example, grouper and snook occurring in the shallow waters of the northern Gulf are not common as they are along the west Florida shelf. Prey switching by predators needs further exploration and inclusion into models given the strong evidence that the prey field in the northern Gulf of Mexico is very diverse.*

9.4.3 Environment

9.4.3.1 Habitat Monitoring

Because menhaden are short-lived and occupy a low trophic level in the food web, their abundance and the subsequent fishery are highly sensitive to habitat changes. Both short-term and long-term changes can drastically affect populations. Habitat alterations over the life of the fishery have probably had an overall negative impact; however, they have not been quantified. Habitat losses have resulted from both natural and man-induced forces; however, alterations by humans have posed the greatest threat to the menhaden industry. Hurricanes, erosion, sea level rises, subsidence, and accretion are natural sources of wetland loss. Some human activities have accelerated or exacerbated the effects of some of these factors as described in Section 4.7.

Considerations

- Since menhaden are estuarine-dependent during their early life stages, states could increase efforts to identify critical habitats and monitor potentially negative changes.
- The effects that environmental factors have on catchability of different fishery-independent and fishery-dependent gears may inform the model concerning changes in catchability over time.
- The GSMFC's TCC Habitat Subcommittee has been made inactive due to budgetary issues related to the dissolution of the joint relationship with the Gulf of Mexico Fisheries Management Council. The Subcommittee traditionally reviewed and provided monitoring of habitat-related projects and reported to the Commission on issues of concern within the region.

Recommendations

1. The Gulf states need to explore environmental factors that play a crucial role in Gulf menhaden recruitment dynamics and catchability (both fishery-dependent and fishery-independent).
2. Reassess the status of the GSMFC's Habitat Subcommittee and prioritize funding to support its activation for issues related to habitat and habitat loss in the Gulf of Mexico.

9.4.3.2 Sustaining and Protecting Freshwater Sources

The importance of freshwater to Gulf menhaden recruitment, growth, and survival is well-known (see

Section 4.7.3.1). Growing reliance on freshwater diversion projects to control flooding, create reservoirs, enhance coastal development opportunities, and ensure drinking and irrigation water supplies threaten the ecological stability of estuarine systems that depend upon short-term and long-term variations in river stages and flow rates. This is especially problematic for managers in estuaries that receive fresh water from a single drainage basin.

Typically, short-term changes in river stages, volumes, and flow rates are part of the ecology of coastal estuaries, and estuarine organisms can cope or even thrive under the changing conditions. However, when freshwater input is disrupted for prolonged periods, serious adverse impacts to estuarine ecology may result.

Water control projects that disrupt the flow of fresh water for prolonged periods may result in serious adverse impacts to juvenile Gulf menhaden ecology. Some major freshwater control projects are underway in the Gulf states, and others are planned (see Section 4.7.3.1.3). For example, Alabama, Florida, and Georgia are currently involved in negotiations and litigation over activities which affect the amount of fresh water reaching Apalachicola Bay from the Apalachicola, Choctawhatchee, and Flint rivers. Interstate agreements which affect water usage in large river drainage basins should consider the positive and adverse effects of water use practices on estuarine ecology.

Management Considerations

- Properly planned and implemented freshwater control projects may have long-term positive ecological and economic impacts. In many instances, fisheries resource managers have little influence on the processes that shape coastal development, but it remains important that fisheries resource issues are included in regional and local comprehensive planning.
- Water management for alternative objectives can be contrary to biological management objectives in estuarine systems.
- Freshwater diversion may biologically change both the area from which water is diverted and the area receiving diverted fresh water. Production of some species (e.g., oysters) may be enhanced at the expense of other species (e.g., shrimp). Thus, biological, social and economic value disputes are possible, while the cumulative environmental impacts and benefits are difficult to determine.
- Depending on the freshwater source and the drainage basin, diversion projects may decrease water quality and increase sedimentation in an area which may enhance some species at the expense of others (e.g., oysters).
- Diversion projects and reservoirs can impact the nature of high flow events and result in declines in important nutrient and sediment loads critical for habitat maintenance and primary production.

Management Recommendations

1. Resource managers should review and evaluate all available information relating to freshwater control projects, habitat restoration, water use policies and practices during the planning process. Such review includes an assessment of the biological, hydrological, ecological, geomorphological, social, and economic impacts that are likely to result from a project or practice in order to provide accurate projections of a project's impacts on estuarine resources. The project design, objectives and implementation should include collaborative efforts to involve all stakeholders who, through their actions, could directly or indirectly impact Gulf menhaden resources in the estuarine and marine environment.

The Louisiana Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority (CPRA) has created a Coastal Master Plan for the state of Louisiana, which provides extensive input for the modeling and science involved in any diversion or coastal restoration project.

Louisiana Coastal Master Plan

<https://coastal.la.gov/our-plan/2023-coastal-master-plan/2023-plan-appendices/>

Habitat Suitability Modeling for Juvenile Menhaden

https://coastal.la.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/C10_2023HSIModel_Feb2023_v3.pdf

Habitat Suitability Modeling for Adult Menhaden

https://coastal.la.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/C10_2023HSIModel_Feb2023_v3.pdf

9.4.3.3 Water Quality

Pollution represents a serious threat to estuarine communities at the local level, but the cumulative impacts of all types of pollution threaten estuarine species on a regional level (Section 4.7.3.2). Pollutants include a wide variety of substances that are introduced into the environment, including solid wastes, nutrients, chemicals (petrochemicals), toxic substances (pesticides, herbicides), and other harmful and deleterious substances. Pollutants degrade water quality and habitat, and expose estuarine populations to serious threats. Pollutants and contaminants can stress and ultimately kill estuarine-dependent organisms directly or in combination with other factors, impair reproduction, and adversely affect survival of all life stages. In some instances, pollutants may act indirectly to degrade the environment; for example, eutrophication, reduced water quality, food web disruption, altered species diversity, and increased occurrence of HABs. The discharge of nutrients by river systems, such as the Mississippi River, has produced the largest, most persistent zone of hypoxia in the U.S. (Section 4.7.7.1). The direct effect of this area on menhaden populations is unknown, but it may concentrate schools of Gulf menhaden closer to shore as they avoid areas of low DO.

Management Considerations

- Since hypoxic conditions generally occur in the bottom-half of the water column, surface-dwelling menhaden may be less affected than demersal finfish and invertebrates.
- Increased nutrient discharge may provide increased forage for menhaden initially in the form of small phytoplankton and increased zooplankton.

Management Recommendations

1. The Gulf states water quality agencies should encourage improved multi-jurisdictional coordination to identify, permit, and monitor pollution and river nutrient loads including those sources contributing to the persistence and expansion of the 'dead zone'.
2. Resource managers must continue to work toward a more comprehensive approach to managing estuaries amidst a growing threat from pollution, including engagement in comprehensive planning for coastal development activities.

Appendix A

Below are the management research priorities (Chapter 6) identified in the latest Menhaden stock assessment (SEDAR97/GDAR04 2024).

6.0 Research Recommendations and Priorities

Throughout the course of this assessment update and previous assessments, a number of items were identified as important research topics for future stock assessments. The assessment panel evaluated the various items and developed a consensus priority list. Priorities have equal value in importance whether for single species assessment or ecosystem-based. The shift in the future will be towards ecosystem-based assessments and endeavors should be undertaken towards that shift; however, these efforts should not impinge on the single-species assessment. Several years of side-by-side comparisons of the two assessment types will be informative in the development of ecosystem-based assessments.

TOPIC AREA	DATA ELEMENT	RECOMMENDATION	PRIORITY
Single-Species Assessment			
Biomass Study	FI Adult Winter Survey	Examine the feasibility of an acoustic survey for menhaden populations during winter months to determine spatial distribution and abundance and to estimate an area swept biomass.	Med/High
Modeling	Bootstrap considerations	Evaluate the relationships between the various life history and productivity input parameters, which could be impacting bootstrap results due to the potentially unrealistic combinations of parameters drawn from the specified distributions.	Med/High
Fishery-Independent Adult Index	Fishery-Independent Adult Index	Collect and age Gulf Menhaden from fishery-independent gears (e.g., gillnets) to determine selectivity and possibly track cohorts within the stock assessment. This could be useful when and if large variations in length-at-age are present.	Med/High
Indices of Abundance	Fishery-Independent Indices	Consider the fishery independent survey data specifically the mesh sizes on the gillnet index and what ages/size classes each mesh represents. Explore the relationship between the recruitment indices for each state and the gillnet survey data for each state. Reconsider index configuration, if appropriate.	Med/High
Genetics and Stock Structure	Stock Structure	Evaluate the genetic markers for confirming the meristic identifications of species. We are particularly interested in the periphery of the Gulf Menhaden's range in Texas and Alabama/Florida waters for juveniles and adults.	Med
Legacy Data (FD Surveys)	Legacy Data (FD Surveys)	Process and analyze samples that address the homogeneity of the catch in the hold of the reduction fishery vessels.	Med/Low
Stock Status Benchmarks	Single Species Benchmarks	Continue to evaluate reference points for the stock to ensure long term sustainability while balancing the desires of stakeholders to effectively exploit the stock (Short Term Objective).	Low
Recruitment Evaluation	Recruitment Evaluation	Understand the recruitment drivers for Gulf Menhaden back to the estuary from the spawning grounds (mechanistic understanding of larval migration and movement from offshore to inshore - cues and behavior and general oceanographic events - important for Eco Ref Points) (Long Term Objective).	Low

TOPIC AREA	DATA ELEMENT	RECOMMENDATION	PRIORITY
Ecosystem-Based Assessment			
Predator/Prey	Predator/Prey	Expand understanding of diets of potential Gulf Menhaden predators using a variety of tools including traditional stomach contents analysis, stable isotope analyses for gut contents, and DNA barcoding, Gulf wide across time/seasons - ecosystem critical. (long Term Objective)	High
Stock Status Benchmarks	Ecosystem Benchmarks	Continue to gather more data to populate the existing ecosystem models to move toward assessment benchmarks (e.g., F or proxies) that account for the multiple priorities of ecosystem management that includes predation mortality (Long Term Objective).	Med
Environmental Indices	Environmental Indices	Develop a habitat index to examine the potential shift in the Gulf Menhaden population to more inshore waters as marsh converts to open water from coastal land loss.	Med/Low

Biomass Study

The reviewers of SEDAR 63 (2018) and the MAC recommended that some sort of independent survey be developed to address adult abundance. Utilize new technology such as a combination of down-viewing echosounder and omni-directional sonar to generate biomass estimates in the winter Gulf Menhaden population when they are distributed more offshore for spawning. The work by Liang et al. (2020) proposing a hydro-acoustic project in the northeast could serve as a model for the Gulf. Studies like this are critical to informing the ecosystem-based models by validating the overlap of predators and their prey spatially and seasonally.

Modeling

In general, concern has arisen over the inclusion of related parameters in Monte Carlo bootstrap ensemble (MCBE) analyses, which is not necessarily a menhaden-specific concern or unique to this assessment update. For example, a uniform distribution on one parameter and a uniform distribution on another parameter might not provide realistic combinations of parameters in nature. The uncertainty components currently being modeled in the BAM for Gulf Menhaden are not linked but potentially could be with more information. For example, natural mortality may be correlated with maturity or steepness, so the MCBEs may select potentially unrealistic parameter combinations. This type of analysis has not been conducted but would be valuable to any future stock assessments.

Fishery-Independent Adult Index

The existing state water gillnets remain a reliable source for adult menhaden and ageing of the samples could help determine selectivity and possibly track cohorts within the stock assessment. The gillnets are providing fishery-independent lengths, which are used to estimate an age-based selectivity in the assessment model. Ageing of scale samples from the fishery-independent survey would provide a more direct estimate of selectivity for the adult index.

Indices of Abundance

In addition, creating an index based on the individuals captured in the smallest mesh of the gillnet survey may provide an Age-1 index. The other mesh sizes could then provide an index for adults for Age-2+. More work is needed before this could be considered for use in the next benchmark assessment.

Genetics and Stock Structure

Determining better estimates of natural mortality, migration, and growth could be accomplished through a variety of readily available techniques. These techniques could include mark/recapture and newer methods such as meristic characters and genetics. The use of more recent advances could aid in the understanding of Gulf Menhaden for future assessments.

Considering the overlap of species to the east and west of the traditional harvest grounds, there would be a considerable benefit from using simple genetic techniques such as DNA barcoding to aid species identification, which is currently problematic in peripheral range areas as sampled in the Texas, Alabama, and Florida surveys. Resolution of species identification and any other measures to ensure more consistency across the state surveys that were excluded from the assessment could provide a more representative basis for monitoring abundance.

Legacy Data (FD Surveys)

The reviewers of SEDAR 27 (2011) expressed concern about the potential bias associated with sampling only the last purse-seine set of the trip. The reviewers noted that there could be a sampling bias towards larger/older fish or smaller/younger fish, depending on the proximity to a plant. Therefore, a sampling scheme was devised to sample vessels at dockside and to acquire fish samples from throughout the hold during the vessel unloading operation, not just the top of the fish hold. Fish factory dockside workers at each menhaden plant were asked to sample several vessels seasonally in 2012 as the vessels were unloading their catches retrieving samples periodically during the pumpout process. Samples from the fish stream were not necessarily assumed to represent identifiable purse-seine sets of the fishing trip, rather, they were assumed to be mixed fish from many sets of the given trip. A total of 31 “pumpout events” were sampled with four replicates each (top of the hold and start, middle and end of the pumpout); overall, 1,240 fish were sampled for size/age composition. The sample sizes for this analysis were considered low for some plant locations and across the season. Additional samples have been collected across a greater spatial and temporal domain, but those samples remain unprocessed. The results from the remaining samples could address the question of homogeneity of the catch in the hold of the reduction fishery vessels.

Stock Status Benchmarks (Single Species)

Following the completion of the SEDAR 63 menhaden benchmark assessment (2018), the MAC agreed to begin exploring potential reference points for management. The MAC and a number of invited stakeholders participated in three workshops in New Orleans to look at options for reference points (VanderKooy 2019a, 2019b, 2023). After an extensive review of the options available, the majority of reference points were determined to have many potential issues and a number were rejected outright. The lack of a defined MSY and stock-recruitment relationship resulted in the current reference points from the last benchmark (SEDAR 63 2018) and the 2015 Gulf Menhaden Fishery Management Plan (VanderKooy and Smith 2015) to continue to be the standard. Options exist to adjust the existing reference points should a need be determined.

Recruitment Evaluation

Understanding drivers of recruitment at the fundamental mechanistic level could help scientists to determine future population productivity and levels, especially for a fishery like Gulf Menhaden. These would be informative for both single and multi-species work but narrowing down the critical drivers from the large suite of potential options is problematic. In addition, a lack of overwintering/spawning locations for Gulf Menhaden further complicate the identification of the critical parameters at this time.

Predator/Prey

In order to better describe the ecosystem importance of Gulf Menhaden as a forage species, diet studies are required to better estimate the consumption of menhaden in higher predator species. A better understanding of predator/prey interactions is required to refine the estimate of natural mortality in most EwE models.

Stock Status Benchmarks (Ecosystem and Multispecies)

With the movement towards development of ecosystem benchmarks and use of those benchmarks in management, the MAC suggests continued exploration of multispecies and ecosystem models to determine appropriate ecosystem benchmarks for Gulf Menhaden provided the suite of predator species (de Mutsert et al. 2016, Sagarese et al. 2016, de Mutsert 2017, Berenshtein et al. 2021, Berenshtein et al. 2023).

Environmental Indices

Tracking of environmental indices and habitat changes over time will allow scientists to determine the population level impacts of these changes. Loss of habitat or reproductive capacity could lead to lower levels of overall population productivity.

**Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission
2404 Government Street
Ocean Springs, Mississippi, 39564**

